

Start/Date	You may comment here, please make it clear which section your comment relates to:	We are proposing to reduce the admission number for the junior intake for Little Chalfont Primary school. Do you agree with this proposal?	Do you agree with the proposed changes to school catchment lines between Booker Hill and Millbrook?	Do you agree with the proposed changes to school catchment areas in the Marlow area?	Do you agree with the proposed changes to create dual catchment areas in Woodrow/Hatton area?	Do you agree with the proposed changes to school catchments in the Tottenham/Micklefield area of High Wycombe?	Do you agree with the proposed change to Stakerschurch Primary School?	You may comment here, please make it clear which school your comment relates to:	Do you agree with the proposed change to Great Kimble School?	Do you agree with the proposed change to Monks Riborough CE School?	Do you agree with the proposed change to Longwick CE School?	Do you agree with the proposed change to Princes Riborough Primary School?	Do you agree with the proposed change to Maddenham Junior School?	You may comment here, please make it clear which school your comment relates to:	Do you agree with the proposed change to the catchment area of the Misbourne school?	You may comment here, please make it clear which school your comment relates to:	
01/31/2017	Section 4: proposed changes to school catchments in the Marlow area. Surely logic states that children should have as short a journey to school as possible? Why then are changes being proposed such that children in the Spinfield catchment (west side of Marlow) could end up at Foves Piece (east side of Marlow)? Furthermore, children on the east side of Marlow could end up at Danesfield (on the west side of Marlow)? This makes no sense at all. If changes are to be made, and I agree that they have to, to allow for changes in demographics, then let's use the opportunity to ensure our children live as close to their school as possible. This has ramifications beyond the obvious benefits for the children, including more support for extra-curricular activities form parents within easy walking distance and a real increased sense of belonging to a community.	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	No	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		
01/31/2017	Proposal for Spinfield Park to be in catchment for Spinfield and Foves Piece. Seems geographically nonsensical. Children here have always gone to Spinfield or Danesfield which makes sense traffic wise. What is the point in children from East Marlow travelling to the west.	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	No	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		
01/31/2017				No				Proposed changes to school catchment areas in the Marlow area - I have reviewed the proposed changes to the catchment areas in Marlow. The groupings of the catchments of Spinfield School and Foves Piece makes no logical sense given the location of the schools and the catchment. It would clearly be more sensible to group the catchment of Spinfield School and Danesfield School given the proximity of these schools. Dividing the catchment in the proposed way will simply result in a further increase in traffic during the morning and afternoon rush hours in an already congested town centre as school runs would have to travel west to east of the town and east to west to get to the schools. If the catchments were Danesfield and Spinfield then the west side of the town's catchment would be grouped with the schools on the west of the town and the east of the town with the schools on the eastern side which is a much more logical solution and would avoid further traffic congestion which would arise as a result of the proposed changes.									
01/31/2017		I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	No	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	The area you have marked as Spinfield & Foves Piece - would make more sense as Spinfield & Danesfield. The area marked as Marlow CE and Danesfield should be Foves Piece and Marlow CE	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		
01/31/2017	Marlow Schools Catchment Area: I strongly disagree with Foves Piece School sharing the same catchment area as Spinfield School. Danesfield School is the most practical & sensible partner for Spinfield given location & demographic amongst other valid reasons.			No													
01/31/2017	Proposed Changes to school catchment areas in MARLOW	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	No	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	The proposals combine schools on opposite sides of Marlow: it would be more logical to pair geographically Spinfield with Danesfield, thereby facilitating children's travel from home to school.	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		
01/31/2017	My comment relates to section 4, changes to catchment in Marlow. As a local person in Marlow, the proposal to have a Danesfield catchment on the east of town and a Foves Piece catchment on the west ,makes no sense at all. The distances would mean that there would be more cars travelling greater distances across Marlow at rush hour, when the roads are already heavily congested. It would seem more practical to maintain priority for houses in the most geographically close to the schools.	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	No	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change			I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		
01/31/2017				No													
01/31/2017	I strongly disagree with the proposed changes to the Marlow catchment area. I believe the dual Spinfield/Dan es field catchment should be extended further East. The proposed Marlow C of E/Dan es field catchment should in fact be Marlow C of E/ Foves Piece	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	No	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		
01/31/2017	Spinfield Marlow catchment being combined with Foves piece when the area to the east of the high street is combined with Danesfield. This will add unnesary traffic through the town centre and along the Henley road which is already congested at school times. By combining Spinfield with Danesfield traffic will avoid town centre and children will live closer to school.	I have no view on this change		No													
01/31/2017	Marlow schools. I wish to lodge my strong objection to the proposed new school catchment areas. It makes no sense to allocate my and adjoining roads a dual catchment of Spinfield school/Foves Piece school. The proposed dual Spinfield/Danesfield school catchment should be extended eastward to at least the Spinfield Lane boundary, if not further east. Whilst there is a drive from where we live to Danesfield, this is a relatively straight forward drive due to our location on the western fringes of Marlow. To me, it would make no sense to be allocated a school at the opposite side of town which will surely mean a longer travel time - especially during rush hour traffic, which can only add to the already overloaded road capacity through the centre of town. This will add to the pollution problem which is being generated by our clogged up roads and should be addressed as part of the 2018 Climate Change Act. To me, the proposed decision to split the current Marlow CE school catchment and allocate the lower area south of Chapel Street to be a dual Marlow CE /Danesfield school simply does not make sense and compounds the issue. Why potentially send the children in this area all the way across town by car, adding even more to the traffic and pollution problems, when it would make much more sense to make this area a dual Marlow CE/Foves Piece school catchment area. This already borders the current Foves Piece catchment area and will mean that everyone of these children will be able to walk to school easily. To conclude, it seems a simple and the right decision to extend the proposed Spinfield/Danesfield school catchment area further east to at least Spinfield Lane as a	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	No	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		

